

TRAFFIC AND ROAD SAFETY ADVISORY PANEL (SPECIAL) MINUTES

10 DECEMBER 2014

Chair:	* Councillor Barry Kendler	
Councillors:	* Susan Hall	* Mrs Vina Mithani
	* Ameet Jogia	* Nitin Parekh
	* Jerry Miles	* Aneka Shah
Advisers:	† Ms N Baker	* Dr Anoop Shah
	* Mr L Gray	* Mr A Wood
In attendance: (Councillors)	Richard Almond	Minute 35
	Manjibhai Kara	Minute 36

* Denotes Member present

† Denotes apologies received

28. Attendance by Reserve Members

RESOLVED: To note that there were no Reserve Members in attendance.

29. Right of Members to Speak

RESOLVED: In accordance with Executive Procedure Rule 40.1 – Part 4D of the Constitution, the Panel agreed that the following Members could speak at the meeting:

<u>Councillor</u>	<u>Agenda Item(s)</u>
Richard Almond	8

30. Declarations of Interest

RESOLVED: To note that the following interests were declared:

Agenda Item 8 – Pinner Area Parking Review Statutory Consultation results

Councillor Richard Almond declared a non-pecuniary interest in that he was Ward Councillor for Pinner South, where the Pinner Area Parking Review was located. He would remain in the room whilst the matter was considered and voted upon.

Agenda Item 7 – Information Report: Petitions

Councillor Susan Hall declared a non-pecuniary interest in that she was Ward Councillor for Hatch End and one of the petitions related to the CPZ in her Ward. She would remain in the room whilst the matter was considered and voted upon.

Agenda Item 10 – Canons Park Area Parking Review Statutory Consultation results

Councillor Ameet Jogia declared a non-pecuniary interest in that he was Ward Councillor for Canons Ward, where the Canons Park Area Parking Review was located. He would remain in the room whilst the matter was considered and voted upon.

Councillor Barry Kendler declared a non-pecuniary interest in that he was Ward Councillor for Edgware, where the Canons Park Area Parking Review was located. He would remain in the room whilst the matter was considered and voted upon.

Councillor Nitin Parekh declared a non-pecuniary interest in that he was Ward Councillor for Edgware, where the Canons Park Area Parking Review was located. He would remain in the room whilst the matter was considered and voted upon.

Agenda Item 9 - Belmont Circle Area Parking Review Statutory Consultation results

Councillor Manji Kara declared a non-pecuniary interest in that he was Ward Councillor for Belmont Ward, where the Belmont Circle Area Parking Review was located. He would remain in the room whilst the matter was considered and voted upon.

Councillor Mrs Vina Mithani declared a non-pecuniary interest in that she was Ward Councillor for Kenton West, where part of the Belmont Circle Area Parking Review was located. She would remain in the room whilst the matter was considered and voted upon.

31. Suspension of Procedure Rule

RESOLVED: That Executive Procedure Rule 43.2 (4D) be suspended in order to allow public questions and petitions to be received at the meeting.

32. Public Questions

To note that 5 public questions had been received and responded to and in line with the statement made by the Chairman, the recording had been placed on the website.

33. Petitions

RESOLVED: To note the receipt of the following petition, which was referred to the Corporate Director of Environment and Enterprise for consideration:

Petition containing 44 signatures, presented by Councillor Ameet Jogia on behalf of tenants and leaseholders of Dene Court, Dene Gardens, Garden Court & Merryfield Gardens

'The leaseholders and tenants are requesting that the following are considered by the council as a matter of urgency.

1. Currently we have resident parking which comes into force between the hours of 3 and 4 pm daily. We request that the zone B parking restriction is extended between 11-12 daily with the possibility of including Saturday. Roads near the station are used by commuters, shoppers to park their cars to avoid paying for parking in nearby car parks. This is made worse on event days at Wembley whereby commuters leave their cars parked on the above roads. During the morning and weekends it is impossible for residents to find a space to park, even though we have paid for permits. We realise that this would involve changing the whole of zone B. However, all residents in this zone must all experience the same problem. The Ridgeway in Stanmore experiences similar problems from the college students and commuters to Canons Park Station.
2. The state of the road and pavements are in urgent need of repair, especially in Dene Gardens. The pavements are broken to such an extent that it is inevitable that someone will have an accident. Many of the residents are elderly making walking quite difficult. The roads have potholes, the kerbs and pavement are crumbling. This is not helped by the fact that refuse trucks mount the pavements and grass verges on collection days. Cars/vans also tend to park during the day and overnight on the pavement. Should any of the emergency services be called they would sometimes have difficulty reaching their destination. At times the refuse trucks have been unable to access Dene Gardens. We request that double yellow lines are extended in Dene Gardens and that visible signs are erected to clearly state that there should be no parking on the pavements. Could bollards be placed at certain points along the road? I attach a couple of photographs to demonstrate the unacceptable parking in Dene Gardens making it very difficult for pedestrians to walk on the pavement. I would like to add that parking wardens are rarely seen.

The pedestrian pathway which leads across council land to Marsh Lane needs resurfacing. The handrail is also in need of attention. It is currently very uneven.

We request that you look at the above matters with some urgency.'

34. Deputations

RESOLVED: To note that no deputations were received.

35. Tabled Document

RESOLVED: A document which set out amendments to the agenda items 8 and 10, be tabled at the meeting.

RECOMMENDED ITEMS

36. Pinner Area Parking Review Statutory Consultation results

The Panel received a report of the Corporate Director of Environment and Enterprise, which provided results of the statutory consultation exercise carried out in the Pinner area in September 2014 regarding the introduction of parking controls.

A Pinner South Councillor back benching stated that he and the other two Pinner South Ward Councillors were of the view that:

- it was important to note that the properties on Malpas, Cranbourne, Rochester, Colchester and Winchester Drives formed what was essentially a self-contained estate and any parking restrictions imposed in any of the streets in the area would affect the estate as a whole;
- overall, the results of the statutory consultation results showed that there was no support for the CPZ in Malpas Drive and Cranbourne Drives, therefore, Malpas Drive and Cranbourne Drive should be omitted from the Recommendation. In fact, those in Malpas Drive only wished to be included in the CPZ if Cranbourne Drive were included because these streets were affected by displaced commuter parking by those using Pinner Station.

The Chair stated that both he and traffic officers had recent discussions with one of the Pinner Ward Councillors, who had advised him that there was no clear majority view amongst residents in Mayfield Drive regarding the CPZ, and that the residents there were in favour of the Recommendation as it stood.

An adviser to the Panel stated that if Malpas and Cranbourne Drive were omitted from the Recommendation as proposed, then other measures to ensure safety at corners and junctions, such as increasing the length of double yellow lines would be required.

Following questions and comments from Members and advisers, officers advised that:

- Under legislation, draft traffic orders, once made can only have minor amendments made to them and so the double yellow lines could only

be implemented as proposed. However, the lengths of the double yellow lines would be re-evaluated in the light of discussions at the meeting, in order to ensure visibility and safety and would be addressed through the Local Safety Parking Schemes programme of work subsequently.

The Chair proposed a motion that in light of the discussions above, that Malpas Drive and Cranbroune Drive be omitted from the Recommendation. This was agreed unanimously by the Panel.

Resolved to RECOMMEND: (to the Portfolio Holder for Environment, Crime and Community Safety)

That

- (1) the proposals to introduce new controlled parking zones (CPZ) in the following streets not be implemented:
 - Cecil Park (proposed zone A1)
 - Barrowdene Close (proposed zone A2)
 - Mayfield Drive (proposed zone A4)
 - Ashridge Gardens and Holwell Place (proposed zone A5);
- (2) the Zone A CPZ, operating Monday – Friday, 11 am – 12 noon, be extended to include the following streets:
 - Nower Hill (between No. 2 to 20)
 - Amberley Close
 - Barrowdene Close
 - Mayfield Drive
- (3) a new CPZ zone in Bell Close (adjacent to nos. 1 to 23), operational Monday to Saturday, 8 am – 6.30 pm, be introduced;
- (4) additional permit bays in Chigwell Hurst Court, Milman Close, Elm Park Road, Love Lane, Barrow Point Avenue, Avenue Road, West End Avenue, Leighton Avenue, Ashdene, North Way, Northfield Avenue, Mansard Close and Cecil Park as proposed with the following exceptions, be introduced:
 - Chigwell Hurst Court - remove 2 proposed permit parking bays in the turning head,
 - Avenue Road - reduce the size of the proposed permit parking bay opposite the Methodist Church,
 - West End Avenue - remove the proposed permit parking bay opposite no. 60,
 - Mansard Close - remove 2 proposed permit parking bays in the turning head;
- (5) School Keep Clear restrictions outside No. 9 Cecil Park be installed;
- (6) a Free Parking Bay outside Nos. 42 – 60 Cannon Lane, be installed;

- (7) the existing Permit Bay outside No.16 Barrow Point Avenue, be removed;
- (8) the existing Permit Bay opposite No. 2 Northfield Avenue, be removed;
- (9) the proposed Permit bay adjacent to 33 – 35 High Street, not be implemented;
- (10) “at any time” loading restrictions be introduced in the following roads:
 - High Street – the Northwest side between No. 1 – 43 and the Southeast side between No. 2 – 58
 - Love Lane – the West side between No. 2 – 6 / 10 – 12 and the East side between 1 – 7
 - Bridge Street – the Northeast side between No. 1 – 24 and the Southwest side between No. 11 – 35
 - Chapel Lane – both sides from the junctions of bridge Street to a point outside No. 2 Chapel Lane.
- (11) Pay & Display Bays operating Monday to Saturday, 8 am – 6.30 pm, 2 hours maximum stay, no return within 1 hour, be introduced at the following locations:
 - Station Approach
 - High Street between No. 24 – 52
 - Love Lane between No. 1 and 19
- (12) the proposed Pay & Display Bays in Love Lane outside St Luke’s Catholic Church, not be implemented;
- (13) Loading Bays operating Monday to Saturday, 8 am – 6.30 pm be introduced in the following locations,:
 - High Street – south east side between No. 18 – 24
 - Love Lane – west side between No. 6 – 10
- (14) the existing disabled parking bays in High Street and Love Lane be removed and new bays operating “at any time”, 3 hours maximum stay be introduced in the following locations:
 - High Street – between 12 – 16, 29 – 33 and 50 – 52
 - Love Lane – between 1 – 7 and outside St Luke’s Catholic Church
- (15) waiting restrictions (double yellow lines) on all junctions, turning heads, narrow sections of the carriageway and bends within the consultation area as set out in the traffic regulation order advertised be introduced, with the exception of:
 - Marsh Road – outside nos. 40 - 50.
- (16) in the 2015/16 financial year localised reviews of the following areas set out in the report, be funded as follows:

- Bell Close (pay and display bays)
- Cannon Lane outside Nos. 42 – 60 (pay and display bays)
- Leighton Avenue – permit parking bay outside nos. 8 – 10
- High Street (near Queen’s Head pub) – introduce loading bay

(17) all residents in the consultation area be informed of the decision once approved by the Portfolio Holder for Environment, Crime and Community Safety.

Reason for Recommendation: To regulate parking in the wider Pinner area as detailed in the report. The measures are in direct response to residents and businesses requests for changes to the existing parking arrangements in their area in order to maintain road safety and parking access.

37. Belmont Circle Area Parking Review Statutory Consultation results

The Panel received a report of the Corporate Director of Environment and Enterprise, which set out the results of the statutory consultation exercise carried out in the Belmont Circle area in August/September 2014 regarding the introduction of parking controls.

A Member back benching made the following points:

- he was grateful that residents’ views had been taken on board when designing the scheme;
- the introduction of parking meters on the north side of Belmont Circle and near the Tesco were a welcome measure, as was the availability of the free car park behind the shops as these would be of benefit to both businesses and shoppers in the area;
- there had been some confusion among consultees regarding the wording of the initial consultation document relating to the proposed parking restrictions.

The Chair stated that it was important that consultation documents issued by the Council should be designed to be easily understandable by residents. He had been requested by the Portfolio Holder for Environment, Crime and Community Safety, in conjunction with traffic officers, to carry out a thorough review of the consultation process and consultation documents in relation to traffic issues.

Resolved to RECOMMEND: (to the Portfolio Holder for Environment, Crime and Community Safety)

That

- (1) “At any time” waiting restrictions (double yellow lines) be introduced specifically at:
- Bellamy Drive - (odd numbers side) between nos. 23 and 29, (even numbers side) between nos. 10 and 12, and nos. 66 and 70;

- Elgin Avenue - either side of bend between (odd numbers side) nos 113 to 115, and between (even numbers side) nos 108 to 122;
 - Kenmore Avenue junction with Elgin Avenue;
 - Kenmore Avenue - (odd numbers side) between its junction with Elgin Ave and Belmont Circle roundabout and (even numbers side) from a point adjacent to the southeast corner of 1 Station Parade to Belmont Circle roundabout;
 - Kenton Lane - between property No. 3 Warwick Parade, Kenton Lane, to a point opposite Beverley Gardens (south side);
 - Kenton Lane - between properties 17 Belmont Circle and 522 Kenton Lane (north side);
 - Kenton Lane - between properties nos. 356 to 386 Kenton Lane (both sides);
 - Weston Drive - (odd numbers side) opposite no. 3 and (even numbers side) between no 12 to 18;
 - Dobbin Close – east side of the turning head opposite nos. 17 to 22;
- (2) peak hour loading restrictions 8 am to 9.30 am and 4 pm to 6.30 pm, Monday – Saturday be introduced on the south-east side of Kenmore Avenue between Belmont Circle roundabout and Elgin Avenue;
- (3) the existing three disabled parking bays be converted to operate “At any time” with 3 hours maximum stay at:
- Belmont Circle (northern end) opposite nos 18 and 25,
 - Kenton Lane (service road) outside the public convenience adjacent to no. 404;
- (4) the existing unrestricted free parking bays be converted into Pay and Display Bays operating Monday to Saturday, 8 am – 6.30 pm at:
- Belmont Circle (northern end) between nos. 18 to 25,
 - Kenton Lane (service road) between properties nos. 398 to 412;
- (5) double yellow lines at junctions, turning heads, along narrow sections of the carriageway and at bends throughout the wider consultation area be introduced as detailed in the advertised traffic regulation orders;
- (6) the proposals to introduce resident permit bays in Bellamy Drive, Elgin Avenue, Kenmore Avenue, Kenton Lane, Belmont Circle, Weston Drive and Dobbin Close not be implemented and the objectors be informed;

- (7) all residents in the consultation area be informed of the decision once approved by the Portfolio Holder for Environment, Crime and Community Safety.

Reason for Recommendation: To control parking in the Belmont Circle area as detailed in the report. The measures were in direct response to resident requests for changes to the existing parking arrangements in their area in order to maintain road safety and parking access.

38. **Canons Park Area Parking Review Statutory Consultation results**

The Panel received a report of the Corporate Director of Environment and Enterprise, which provided results of the statutory consultation exercise carried out in the Canons Park area in September/October 2014 regarding the introduction of parking controls.

It was noted that the proposed times of the CPZ in paragraph 2 of the Recommendation were incorrect and should read 3-4 pm (and not 2-3 pm).

Following questions and comments from Members of the Panel, an officer advised that:

- the localised reviews relating to a proposed new controlled parking zone in Buckingham Gardens and Dalkeith Grove would be considered at the February 2015 meeting of the Panel. Detailed plans of the proposed CPZ would be shared with residents on those roads;
- the implementation of double yellow lines on the West side of Howberry road would address many of the issues raised by one of the public questioners (question no 5) regarding loading/unloading at the new Tesco store in Station Parade, Canons Park. Some of the parking and congestion issues caused by the Tesco delivery lorries could be addressed through better compliance with the supermarket delivery plan and by introducing peak hour loading restrictions;
- the Canons Park Residents' Association had been in favour of the timings of the Monday-Friday waiting restrictions. On the whole, the proposals sought to extend the existing times of the existing CPZ in the area, rather than introduce new ones.

It was agreed that the issues caused by the Tesco delivery lorries would be considered at the February 2015 meeting of the Panel.

Resolved to RECOMMEND: (to the Portfolio Holder for Environment, Crime and Community Safety)

That

- (1) the Stanmore CPZ Zone H, operational Monday to Saturday, 10-11 am and 3 – 4 pm, as set out in the advertised traffic order be extended into the following roads:

- Dovercourt Gardens (to the junction of Heronslea Drive),
 - Heronslea Drive;
- (2) the Stanmore CPZ Zone B, operational Monday to Friday, 3 – 4 pm, as set out in the advertised traffic order be extended into the following road:
- Craigweil Close;
- (3) waiting restrictions operating Monday to Friday, 2 – 3 pm (single yellow lines) as set out in the advertised traffic order be installed in the following roads:
- Bromefield (between no.46 and Bush Grove)
 - Bush Grove (between nos. 26 - 30)
 - Cheyneys Avenue (between no. 106 and Howberry Road)
 - Home Mead
 - Howberry Road (between Peters Close and Wychwood Avenue (south))
 - Wemborough Road (St Andrews Drive – Bromefield)
 - Wychwood Avenue (between no. 18 and Howberry Road)
- (4) the proposed waiting restrictions operating Monday to Friday, 2 – 3 pm (single yellow lines) not be implemented in the following roads and the objectors be informed:
- Buckingham Gardens
 - Peters Close
 - Dalkeith Grove
- (5) all “at any time” waiting restrictions (double yellow lines) be installed on bends, narrow sections of road and other locations as detailed in the advertised traffic regulation order;
- (6) Permit Holder bays be installed in the service road Station Parade, Whitchurch Lane, on the southern side of the service road, and pay & display bays and one disabled persons parking bay be installed on the northern side of the service road, operating Monday to Saturday, 8 am-6:30 pm with a maximum stay of 4 hours for pay & display;
- (7) shared use bays (pay & display and permits) be installed on the western side of Donnefield Avenue between the junction with Whitchurch Lane and 36 Canons Park Close, operating Monday to Saturday 8 am - 6:30 pm with a maximum stay of 4 hours for pay & display;
- (8) shared use bays (pay and display and permits) be installed in the Honeypot Lane Shopping Parade on the western side of the service road fronting the shops, operating Monday to Friday, 8 am to 6:30 pm with a maximum stay of 2 hours for pay & display and the existing disabled persons parking bay remain;

- (9) a school keep clear marking be installed outside no. 86 Dalkeith Grove on the northern side of the carriageway and between nos. 21 to 25a Dalkeith Grove on the southern side of the carriageway, operating Monday to Friday, 8:30 am to 4:30 pm;
- (10) funding be made available in the 2015/16 financial year to carry out localised reviews in the following areas:
 - Buckingham Gardens – new controlled parking zone
 - Dalkeith Grove – new controlled parking zone / parking restrictions
 - Dovercourt Gardens – extend controlled parking zone
- (11) all residents in the consultation area be informed of the decision once approved by the Portfolio Holder for Environment, Crime and Community Safety.

Reason for Recommendation: To regulate parking in the Canons Park area as detailed in the report. The measures are in response to residents requests to address parking problems in their area to maintain road safety and accessibility for vehicular traffic.

39. Welbeck Road area Parking Review Statutory Consultation results

The Panel received a report of the Corporate Director of Environment and Enterprise, which set out the results of the statutory consultation exercise carried out in the Welbeck Road area in October 2014 regarding the introduction of parking controls.

Following questions and comments from Members of the Panel, an officer advised that:

- it was the responsibility of the landlord or developer and not the Council to ensure there was sufficient parking provision at the new developments on private roads in the area;
- most of the long stay parking in the vicinity of the Arches was associated with the commercial and business premises in the area, rather than as a result of commuter parking.

Resolved to RECOMMEND: (to the Portfolio Holder for Environment, Crime and Community Safety) That:

- (1) a new controlled parking zone as set out in **Appendix D** operating Monday to Friday, 9 am to 10 am and 3 pm to 4 pm be introduced at the following addresses:
 - Welbeck Road - Nos. 123 to 171 (odds) and 144 to 194 (evens)
 - Tintern Way - Nos. 75 to 95 (odds) and 64 to 80 (evens)
 - Scott Crescent - nos. 35 to 53 Unity Terrace only
 - Coles Crescent - Leafy Court (No. 83), Annan Court, Concord Terrace and Roxeth Green Free Church

- Cerise Court, Drinkwater Road
 - Eliot Drive nos. 18 & 20
- (2) the controlled parking proposals in the following roads not be implemented:
- Welbeck Road nos. 91 to 121 and nos. 104 to 142
 - Scott Crescent
- (3) “at any time” no waiting restrictions (double yellow lines) be introduced at junctions, bends, and narrow sections of road as detailed in the advertised traffic regulation orders;
- (4) the formal objections to the revised proposal as indicated in the report be set aside and the objectors informed;
- (5) all residents in the consultation area be informed of the decision once approved by the Portfolio Holder for Environment, Crime and Community Safety.

Reason for Recommendation: To regulate parking in the wider Welbeck Road area as detailed in the report. The measures are in direct response to residents and businesses requests for changes to the existing parking arrangements in their area in order to maintain road safety and parking access.

RESOLVED ITEMS

40. Information Report: Petitions

The Panel received a report of the Corporate Director of Environment and Enterprise, which set out details of the petitions that had been received since the last Panel meeting and provided details of the Council’s investigations and findings where these had been undertaken.

Following a brief overview of the report by an officer and in response to questions and comments from Members, an officer advised that in respect of petition 4 (Somervell Road):

- It was noted that there was feedback from staff at Earlsmead School regarding parking issues and congestion in the vicinity of the school and that this area would be given further consideration for a traffic calming scheme in 2015/16 subject to funding being made available.

RESOLVED: That the report be noted.

41. Information Report: 2014/15 Traffic and Parking Schemes Programme update

The Panel received a report of the Corporate Director of Environment and Enterprise, which provided an update on progress with the 2014/15 traffic and parking scheme programme of works. This included schemes funded by

Transport for London (TfL) and schemes included in Harrow's Capital Programme.

Officers made the following points in response to questions and comments from the Panel:

- the bus priority scheme at The Common/Common Road junction was anticipated to be completed by March 2015;
- no existing bus stops would be removed as part of the Bus Stop Accessibility programme, rather, the improvements would include measures such as raising the height of a kerb to improve mobility access;
- officers from Brent, Ealing, Hillingdon and Harrow would be working closely with the West London Alliance and TfL to discuss new proposed cycle routes associate with the cycle "Quietways" program;
- independent transport assessments would be carried out at each of the schools undergoing expansion as part of the School Expansion Programme, and that these would be reported as part of the Planning application process;
- there was both a minimum and maximum width that was recommended for islands to allow safe passage for cyclists.

RESOLVED: That the report be noted.

(Note: The meeting, having commenced at 7.30 pm, closed at 9.30 pm).

(Signed) COUNCILLOR BARRY KENDLER
Chair